ORGANIZATION INFORMATION	
EIN	
Organization Name	
Representative	

GRANT PROPOSAL INFORMATION

Eligibility

We will be making grant awards to nonprofit organizations from $1,00 to $5,000.

Grants to community-based organizations will be considered based on the following criteria:

- **Project Fit** - The project's goals and activities clearly fit the Doing Good - Community Fund theme description.
- **Population to be served** - The majority of the people served by the project represent marginalized groups. (Ex. People with physical or mental disability, low socioeconomic status, immigrants, people of color, women, LGBTQ)
- **Outcomes** - The expected outcomes, or results, of the project are clearly stated and correlated with the project's goals.
- **Project Implementation Evaluation** - The organization has a clear definition of success and specific metrics to measure success.
- **Funding Request** - The funding request clearly shows how the expenses requested from the Doing Good - Community Fund support the goals outlined in the proposal.
- **Partnerships, Collaborations & Coordination** - The organization is working in coordination and/or collaborating on the project with specific organizations in the same or related fields.

PROJECT FIT WITH THEME AREAS

Project Fit: Reviewer Rating and Comments	Ratings
Are the goals and activities of the project aligned with the Doing Good - Community Fund them applying for?	3— The project's goals and activities clearly fit the DGCF category theme. 2— The project's goals and activities are loosely related to the DGCF category theme. 1— The project's goals and activities have no connection to the DGCF category theme.

POPULATIONS TO BE SERVED IN DURHAM, ORANGE OR WAKE COUNTIES

Population To Be Served: Reviewer Rating and Comments	Ratings
The majority of the people served by the project represent marginalized groups. (Ex. People with physical or mental disability, low socioeconomic status, immigrants, people of color, women, LGBTQ)	4—The project serves only marginalized people. 3—The project serves a majority of marginalized people. 2—The minority of the participants are marginalized people 1— The project serves no marginalized or it is unclear who the project serves

OUTCOMES

Project Outcomes: Reviewer Ratings and Comments	Ratings
Are the expected outcomes, or results, of the project clearly stated and correlated with the project's goals?	3— The project's expected outcomes or results are clearly stated 2— The project's expected outcomes or results are loosely stated. 1— The project's expected outcomes or results are not clear.

PROJECT IMPLEMENTATION

Project Implementation Evaluation	Ratings
The organization has a clear definition of success and specific metrics to measure success.	3— The organization has a clear plan for measuring success. 2— The organization plans to measure success but doesn't have clear metrics. 1— It's not clear if the organization has a plan to measure success.

AMOUNT REQUESTED & BUDGET FEASIBILITY

Amount Requested	
Please indicate the amount of funding requested. Awards range from $1,000 - $5,000.	

Uploaded Budget	Ratings
Special Note: Up to 10% of budget is permitted to overhead/indirect expenses but at least 90% should be for direct services or supplies to be provided to clients. If a position exists solely for the purpose of implementing the program, it is considered a direct expense and eligible for funding. **Funding Request: Reviewer Rating and Comments** Do the expenses requested support the goals of the project? Does the request for funding seem reasonable? How many people does this proposal serve? Consider the cost per person, need of participants, goals of project, cost of materials, duration and "depth" of project.	3—The funding request clearly shows how the expenses support the goals outlined in the proposal. 2—The funding request is clear, but does not show how the expenses support the goals outlined in the proposal. 1—The funding request does not clearly show how the expenses support the goals outlined in the proposal.

PARTNERSHIPS AND COORDINATION

Partnerships, Collaborations & Coordination	Ratings
The organization is working in coordination and/or collaborating on the project with specific organizations in the same or related fields.	3—The organization has a strong partnership in place for the project or has clearly explained why there is no partnership. 2— The organization has a partnership but the role is nominal. 1—The organization has no partnership in place for the project and did not provide a clear explanation why.

FUNDING RECOMMENDATION

Initial Funding Recommendation: Reviewer Rating and Comments	Ratings
Based on your initial impression of this proposal, would you recommend it for funding?	5 - Highly recommend without reservation 4 -Recommend 3 - Recommend, but with some reservations 2 - Neutral 1 - Do not recommend Note any questions, comments or concerns you would like to share when you meet with your theme area team.